UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,480	01/26/2005	Jari Vallstrom	KOLS.172US	3828
⁷⁶³⁸⁵ Hollingsworth &	7590 04/24/200 & Funk, LLC	EXAMINER		
8009 34th Avenue South Suite 125 Minneapolis, MN 54425			PATEL, NIMESH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/522,480	VALLSTROM ET AL.
Defeat Dénas Cutiénnes	1
Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez	2617

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 3 March 2009.
 Improper Request – The Representation of the Represen	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ible.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from cor	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez</u> .	(3)
(2) Nimesh Patel.	(4)
/Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Nimesh Patel/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617